



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,330	02/28/2002	Thomas Joseph McBlain	P21781	5631
7055	7590	07/11/2007	EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			GAUTHIER, GERALD	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2614				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/11/2007		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com
pto@gbpatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/084,330	MCBLAIN ET AL.
	Examiner Gerald Gauthier	Art Unit 2614

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 27 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: 24-46

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____
 13. Other: _____


 Gerald Gauthier
 Primary Examiner
 Art Unit: 2614

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant stated on the remark pages 10-11 that in the embodiment described at column 16, lines 30-49 in FARRIS, the intelligent peripheral 23 is contacted before dial-tone service is provided. Accordingly, the embodiment described at column 16, lines 30-49 in FARRIS is entirely unrelated to functionality that follows a precursor condition of an outbound call being placed to a dialed number and received at the switch. That is, as described above, the personalized services described in the cited portions of FARRIS with respect to claim 24 do not disclose or suggest a combination that includes at least a "call processor message being sent to the switch for controlling the outbound call received at the switch" where the call processor message is sent "based on action information in response to a switch message when condition information is satisfied, the switch message being received in response to the outbound call being placed to a dialed number and received at the switch" as recited in claim 24.

As described above, FARRIS also does not disclose the features recited in claim 35. That is, as described above, the personalized services described in the cited portions of FARRIS with respect to claim 35 do not disclose or suggest a combination that includes at least a "call processor message being sent for controlling the outbound call received at the switch" where the call processor message is sent "based on action information in response to a switch message when condition information is satisfied, the switch message being received in response to the outbound call being placed to a dialed number and received at the switch" as recited in claim 35.

The examiner respectfully disagrees.

Farris stated on column lines 16-30. The SSP capable switching offices initiate such processing upon detection of triggering events. At some point during processing of a telephone call, a central office switching system 11 will recognize an event in call processing as a 'Point in Call' (PIC) which triggers a query, for example to the SCP 19. Ultimately, the SCP 19 will return an instruction to the switching system 11 to continue call processing. This type of AIN call processing can utilize a variety of different types of triggers to cause the SSPs 11 to initiate the query and response signaling procedures with the SCP 19. In the presently preferred embodiments discussed below, the personal dial tone service utilizes an off-hook immediate trigger, a dialed number trigger such as a 3/6/10 trigger and a terminating attempt trigger (TAT), to facilitate different aspects of the service. Some enhanced caller ID services utilize a TAT to initiate queries to the LIDB database to obtain calling party name information for delivery to a called party who subscribes to the enhanced service. For incoming calls directed to numbers associated with the personal dial tone service, the serving end office switch will have a TAT set against the telephone number for links associated with that service, which will cause the switch to query the SCP 19. Therefore the switch is processing the outbound call according to at least one call processing message which trigger events described by Farris.